ENZYMATIC SYNTHESIS OF β-D-GLUCURONIDES WITH *IN SITU* REGENERATION OF URIDINE 5'-DIPHOSPHOGLUCURONIC ACID

Daniel Gygax*1, Peter Spies1, Tammo Winkler2 and Ulrike Pfaar1

Research and Development Department, Pharmaceuticals Division¹ and Physics Department² Ciba-Geigy Limited, CH-4002 Basel, Switzerland

(Received in Germany 18 March 1991)

Abstract: β -D-glucuronides of 1 and 2 have been synthesized by a multi-enzyme system with *in situ* regeneration of uridine 5'-diphosphoglucuronic acid. Crude liver homogenate containing all enzymes involved in the multi-enzyme system was used for this stereoselective one-pot reaction.

 β -D-Glucuronides of xeno- and endobiotics are widespread biotransformation products. They are required, both radioactively labelled or unlabelled in larger amounts for pharmacological, toxicological and analytical investigations.

The enzyme catalyzed synthesis of β -D-glucuronides is an alternative to chemical synthesis or isolation of these conjugates from biological materials. The UDP-glucuronyltransferases (EC 2.4.1.17) are a family of isoenzymes which catalyze the transfer of glucuronic acid from uridine 5'-diphospho- α -D-glucuronic acid to a suitable aglycon with inversion of the configuration at the anomeric centre of glucuronic acid.¹ So far this reaction has been used to conjugate aglycons such as phenols, amines, alcohols, thiols, carbamates and carboxylic acids. Glucuronides have been synthesized batch-wise or in a hollow fiber system using microsomal or soluble enzyme preparations.²⁻⁴ Moreover they have been prepared with enzymes immobilized to polymeric supports.⁵

In this report we describe a simple one-pot reaction using glucose-1-phosphate as a donor of the glucuronic acid moiety and phosphoenolpyruvate and NAD as co-substrates. This multi-enzyme catalyzed glucuronidation enables the regeneration of uridine 5'-diphosphate sugars which are needed in catalytic amounts only (Scheme). A similar type of multi-catalyst system with immobilized enzymes has been used for the synthesis of oligosaccharides.^{6,7} Instead of individually immobilized enzymes we used crude liver homogenate containing all enzymes involved in the multi-catalyst system.

The glucuronidation is started with catalytic amounts of UDP-glucose, which is oxidized by the NAD-dependent UDP-glucose dehydrogenase to UDP-glucuronic acid (I). Two products are generated during UDP-glucuronyltransferase-catalyzed transfer of glucuronic acid to the aglycon (II), namely the glucuronide



Scheme. Enzymatic glucuronidation with in situ regeneration of UDP-glucuronic acid. I: UDP-glucose dehydrogenase, II: UDP-glucuronyltransferase, III: pyruvate kinase, IV: UDP-glucose pyrophosphorylase. UDP: uridine 5'-diphosphate, NAD(H): β -nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (reduced).

and UDP. The phosphorylation of UDP to UTP is catalyzed by pyruvate kinase (III) using phosphoenolpyruvate as phosphoryl-group donor. Finally, the cycle is closed by the UDP-glucose pyrophosphorylase-catalyzed transfer of UTP to glucose-1-phosphate (IV).

To demonstrate the feasibility of the enzymatic one-pot reaction we used two radioactively labelled compounds. Compound 1 is conjugated to a phenolic-O-glucuronide 1a and the lipophilic steroid 2 to an enolic-O-glucuronide 2a. The reaction was established and optimized by producing 1a on an analytical scale. The formation of 1a was followed by TLC and quantified by radioactivity scanning. Optimal conditions for the conjugation of 0.1 mmol of aglycon 1 were: 0.005 mmol of UDP-glucose, 0.6 mmol of glucose-1-phosphate, 0.4 mmol of phosphoenolpyruvate, 0.4 mmol of NAD and 100 mg protein of guinea-pig liver homogenate in 0.025 M of 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-piperazine-1-ethane-sulfonic acid (HEPES) buffer (pH 8.0). In a preparative

scale experiment, glucuronic acid was completely conjugated to 1 within 15 hours and yielded 45 mg (65%) of 1a.

The lipophilic steroid 2 was added in solid state to the reaction mixture and consequently this reaction which was catalyzed best by crude rabbit liver homogenate was slow (7 hrs) and incomplete (about 30%) and yielded 2.7 mg (28%) of 2a.

In summary, the multi-enzyme system with in situ regeneration of UDP-glucuronic acid provides an



efficient route for the preparation of multi milligramm amounts of β -D-glucuronides. The value of this synthesis is that the costly and hydrolysis sensitive UDP-glucuronic acid is replaced by inexpensive glucose-1-phosphate, phosphoenolpyruvate and NAD. Instead of four individual enzymes a crude liver homogenate containing all the enzymes is used as multi-catalyst preparation. This preparation is sufficient for glucuronides. Finally, considering broad acceptance the synthesis of the aglycon of UDP-glucuronyltransferases this multi-enzyme catalyzed glucuronidation should also be applicable to other aglycons.

Experimental Section

General. FAB-MS spectra were recorded on a Finnigan MAT 90 mass spectrometer system equipped with an Ion Tech gun for fast atom bombardment (50 °C ion source temperature, thioglycerol matrix, 7 keV Xe fast atom beam). ¹H-NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Unity 500 NMR spectrometer, D_2O or CD_3OD solutions at room temperature. HPLC was performed either with a Spectra Physics SP 8100 pump using a Lichrosorb RP C₁₈ column (10 µm, 10 × 250 mm, Merck) with a Spectra Physics SP 8400 UV detector (270 nm) and radioactivity monitor LB 503, Berthold or Spectra Physics SP 8700 pump using a Partisil 5 ODS-3 column (4.6 × 250 mm, Whatman) with a Spectra Physics SP 8400 UV detector (275 nm) and a radioactivity monitor Ramona-D, Raytest, Burkhardt. The radioactivity was determined by a TLC radioactivity scanner (Automatic TLC-Linear-Analyzer Tracemaster 20, Berthold, Wildbad, Germany). Solvents for HPLC were purchased by Merck, Darmstadt, Germany. All reagents and substrates were purchased from Boehringer, Mannheim, Germany. Radioactively labelled 1 and 2 were provided by the Radiosynthesis Laboratory of CIBA-GEIGY Ltd., Basel.

D. GYGAX et al.

Preparation of crude liver homogenate used as multi-enzyme catalyst. About 6 g of fresh liver from guinea pig or rabbit were homogenized (16'000 rpm, 0 °C, 1 min) in 19 ml 0.25 M glucose and centrifuged (4'500 g, 5 min). The supernatant which contains UDP-glucuronyltransferase activities was stored at -20 °C.

Glucuronide formation. Formation of glucuronide was followed by TLC (silica gel 60, F254 0.25 mm, Merck) with n-butanol-acetone-acetic acid- $NH_3(25\%)-H_2O(70:50:18:1.5:60)$. $R_f(1a)=0.36$, $R_f(2a)=0.65$.

Synthesis of 2-(2-isopropylaminopropyl)-5-pyridinol-β-D-glucopyranuronic acid (1a). 1 (36.1 mg, 0.2 mmol, 84.1 kBq/mg), Glucose-1-phosphate disodium salt tetrahydrate (460.6 mg, 1.2 mmol), phosphoenolpyruvate sodium salt (166.4 mg, 0.8 mmol), NAD (530.7 mg, 0.8 mmol), MgCl₂ hexahydrate (162.6 mg, 0.8 mmol), UDP-glucose disodium salt (6.1 mg, 0.01 mmol) were dissolved in 30 ml 0.1 M 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-piperazine-1-propane-sulfonic acid (HEPPS) buffer, pH 8.0. The pH was adjusted to pH 8.0 by the addition of 2 M NaOH. HEPPS buffer and 4.0 ml of crude guinea pig liver homogenate (50 mg/ml protein) were added to a final volume of 40 ml. The brownish, turbid solution was stirred at 37°C for 15 h, at which time monitoring of the glucuronide formation, which was followed by TLC indicated 100% conversion. The reaction mixture was lyophilized and the residue dissolved in H_2O . The glucuronide 1a was isolated and purified by HPLC on a Lichrosorb RP C_{18} column. The glucuronide was separated in three subsequent isochratic HPLC steps at a flow rate of 2 ml/min. HPLC step 1: solvent A containing 20 mM ammonium acetate buffer pH 4.4 and solvent B containing acetonitrile (80% A/20% B, 0 to 30 min). HPLC step 2: 97.5% A/2.5% B (0 to 25 min). HPLC step 3: 98% A/2% B (0 to 30 min). Yield of 1a: 45 mg (65%). FAB pos 371; ¹H-NMR (500 MHz, D₂O): 8.33 (1H,d,pyridine H-6); 7.59 (1H,dd,pyridine H-4); 7.37 (1H,d,pyridine H-3); 5.15 (1H,m,J_{1,2}=7, glucuronyl H-1); 3.91 (1H,m,J_{5,4}=9,glucuronyl H-5); 3.80 (1H,sext,CH₃CHCH₂); 3.58-3.68 (4H,m,glucuronyl H-2,H-3,& H-4,CH₃CHCH₃); 3.21 & 3.01 (1H each,dd,CH₂); 1.35, 1.32 & 1.25 (3H each,d,CH₃). Position of glucuronidation ascertained by 1D NOE difference spectroscopy: Saturation of glucuronyl H-1 caused a strong NOE on pyridine H-4 and H 6.

Synthesis of 4-Hydroxy-androst-4-ene-3,17-dione-β-D-glucopyranuronic acid (2a). Glucose-1-phosphate disodium salt tetrahydrate (46.1 mg, 0.12 mmol), phosphoenolpyruvate sodium salt (16.64 mg, 0.08 mmol), NAD (53.07 mg, 0.08 mmol), MgCl₂ hexahydrate (16.26 mg, 0.08 mmol), UDP-glucose disodium salt (0.61 mg, 0.001 mmol) were dissolved in 40 ml 0.1 M HEPPS buffer, pH 8.0. 2 (6.04 mg, 0.02 mmol, 37.7 kBq/mg) was added in solid state, the pH was adjusted to pH 8.0. The reaction was started by the addition of 0.4 ml of crude rabbit liver homogenate (38 mg/ml protein). The reaction suspension was stirred at 37°C for 7 h, at which time monitoring of the glucuronide formation, which was followed by TLC indicated a maximum conversion of about 30%. The reaction mixture was lyophilized, the residue dissolved in H_2O and 2a was isolated and purified by RP C₁₈ HPLC chromatography. The separation was performed on a Partisil 5 ODS-3 column with 10 mM ammonium acetate buffer pH 5/methanol (55:45%, v/v) at a flow rate of 1 ml/min. Yield: 2.7 mg (28%). FAB pos 479; FAB neg 477; ¹H-NMR (500 MHz, CD₃OD): 4.52 (1H,d,J₁₂=7.5, glucuronyl H-1); 3.51 (1H,d,J_{5,4}=9,glucuronyl H-5); 3.47 (1H,m,glucuronyl H-4); 3.45 (1H,m,H-6eq); 3.40 (1H,m,glucuronyl H-3); 3.37 (1H,m,glucuronyl H-2); 2.63 (1H,ddd,H-2ax); 2.45 (1H,ddd,H-16); 2.39 (1H,dt,H-2eq); 1.96-2.15 (5H, H-1eq,H-6ax,H-7eq,H-15 α ,H-16); 1.68-1.83 (4H,H-1ax,H-8,H-11eq,H-12eq); 1.63 (1H,m,H-15 β); 1.50 (1H,qd,H-11ax); 1.33 (1H,ddd,H-14); 1.27 (1H,td,H-12ax); 1.00-1.09 (2H,H-7ax,H-9). The assignments are based upon a COSY of 2 in CD₃OD and the complete assignment of the ¹H-NMR spectrum of testosterone.⁸

Acknowlegdement. We are grateful to Mrs. J. Burger and Mr. W. Gertsch for technical assistance.

References

- Zakim D., Hochman Y., Vessey D.A.; Biochemical Pharmacology and Toxicology, 1985, Zakim D. and 1. Vessey D.A., Eds; John Wiley and Sons, New York, Vol. 1, pp 161-227.
- Johnson Don M.J., Barker C.W., Fanska C.B., Murrill E.E.; Prep. Biochem. 1979, 9, 391-406. 2.
- 3. Guenthner T.M., Blair N.P.; Pharmacology 1988, 37, 341-348.
- Tegtmeier F., Belsner K., Brunner G.; Bioprocess Engineering 1988, 3, 43-47. Dulik D.M., Fenselau C.; FASEB J. 1988, 2, 2235-2240. 4.
- 5.
- Wong C.-H., Haynie S.L., Whitesides G.M.; J. Org. Chem. 1982, 47, 5418-5420. Augé C., David S., Mathieu C., Gautheron C.; Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 25, 1467-1470. 6. 7.
- 8. Hayamizu K., Ishii T., Yanagisawa M., Kamo O.; Magn. Reson. Chem. 1990,28,250-256.